



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/174,551	10/19/1998	MASAKI WATANABE	043034-0135	5816
7	590 02/25/2003			
FOLEY & LARDNER		EXAMINER		
3000 K STREET NW SUITE 500			POON, KING Y	
PO BOX 25690	-		ADTUDIT	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 200078696		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPÄÄYMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DAT	E FEST NAMED APPLICAN	T ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
0917455		
		100000000000000000000000000000000000000

EXAMINER Poon PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2624

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: a) is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR
event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR
The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 1/30/2003 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: Added claimed limitations of "available ones of client computer", found in claims 7, 21, 22, 23 24; "eliant computer print sener computer" found in claim (3) raise new issues that would require further consideration and for search.
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🔲 will be entered 💆 will not be entered and the status of the daims will be, as follows:
Claims allowed:
Claims objected to:
However;
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. A The attidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because it relies on the newly added claimed limitations not being entered, because the saise new issues; and the decimed limitations of the finally rejected daims are still meet by the privar act of record.
 The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examinen
Other Harara

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

GABRIEL GARCIA PRIMARY EXAMINER